Case 2:15-cv-00099-ER Document 1 Filed 01/09/15 Page 1 of 29 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 (Rev 11/04)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
	Joseph Manni				Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, LLC				
(b)	Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Warren, MI				
	(1	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		NOTE: IN L	AND CON	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON DEMNATION CASES, USE THE I	•	
						NVOLVI	•		
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Know	n)			
` ,	Vicki Piontek, Esq. 877-737-8617	- 951 Allentown Road,	Lansdale, PA 19	446	Lawrence J. Bar 19103	tel, Esqu	ire, 2000 Market Street, S	uite 2300, Philad	elphia, PA
II. BAS	SIS OF JURISDICT	TION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)		ITIZENSHIP OF For Diversity Cases Only		CIPAL PARTIES (Place An	"X" in One Box for F x for Defendant)	Plaintiff and
	. Government Plaintiff		a Party)		izen of This State	PTF	DEF Incorporated or Princip of Business in This State	PTF al Place □ 4	DEF □ 4
	. Government Defendant	☐ 4. Diversity (Indicates Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Cit: Sta	izen of Another te	□ 2	☐ 2 Incorporated and Princi of Business in Another		□ 5
			,		izen of Subject of a reign Country	□ 3	□ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6	□ 6
		ace an "X" in One Box Only)		1					
	CONTRACT	то	RTS	<u> </u>	FORFEITURE/PE	ENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STAT	UTES
150 Re & E 151 Mr 152 Re 153 Re 160 Str 160 Str 190 Ot 195 Cc 195 Fra 210 La 220 Fo 240 To 245 To	arine iller Act cgotiable Instrument ccovery of Overpayment inforcement of Judgment edicare Act ccovery of Defaulted student Loans Excl. Veterans) ccovery of Overpayment f Veteran's Benefits. ockholder's Suits her Contract ontract Product Liability anchise AL PROPERTY and Condemnation	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing / Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities— Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities— Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL IN. 362 Personal Inj Med Malpr 365 Personal Inj Product Li 368 Asbestos Per Injury Proc Liability PERSONAL PROD 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Ler 380 Other Perso: Property Da Product Li 385 Property Da Product Li PRISONER PETI 510 Motions to Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Penal 540 Mandamus & 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Cond	ury – actice ury – actice ury – actice ury – building amage amage ability TIONS Vacate ty building the control of the contro	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & ☐ 625 Drug Related of Property 21 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor State Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. I & Disclosure ☐ 740 Railway Labor ☐ 790 Other Labor I ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. In Security Act	Seizure USC 881 andards Relations Reporting Act r Act itigation	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	□ 400 State Reappu □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and B □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer In Corrupt Org ☑ 480 Consumer C □ 490 Cable/Sat T □ 810 Selective Se □ 850 Securities/Co Exchange □ 875 Customer Cl 12 USC 34 □ 890 Other Statutu □ 891 Agricultural □ 892 Economic Sta □ 893 Environmen □ 894 Energy Allo □ 895 Freedom of Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Under Equ. to Justice □ 950 Constitution State Statu	fluenced and ganizations redit V v revice mmodities/ hallenge 10 ory Actions Acts abilization Act tal Matters cation Act Information Determination al Access ality of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 1 Original									
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):								
VI. CA	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. and 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. Brief description of cause:						*		
Fair Debt Collection Practices Act VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEN UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			MAND \$ 14,751 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☒ No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions) JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER						
DATE	January 8, 2015		SIGNAZIJIR	E OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD) -1			
FOR OF	FICE USE ONLY			unci	J. Dort	Ч			
RECEIPT	#	AMOUNT	APPLYING II	FP	JUDG	Е	MAG. JU	IDGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: Joseph Manni c/o Vicki Piontek, Esq Davis Consumer Law Firm, 951 Allentown Road	i, Lansdale PA 19446 1-877-737-8617					
Address of Defendant: Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, LLC c/o Lawrence J. Bartel, Esquire 2000 Market Street, Suite 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Montgomery County, Pennsylvania						
(Use Reverse Side Fo	or Additional Space)					
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporatio	on and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	(a)) Yes 📝 No 🗌					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No 🗸					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	D . T					
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	e year previously terminated action in this court?					
	Yes No 🗸					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a price action in this court?	or suit pending or within one year previously terminated					
	Yes No 🗸					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlie						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 🗸					
A To this ages a good or appearable helpes comment and be written and the second of the second or appearable helpes a second o						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil ri						
	Yes No 🗸					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury					
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation					
4. Antitrust	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	4. Marine Personal Injury					
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury					
	(Please specify)					
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability					
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases					
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases						
(Please specify)						
ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate						
I, Lawrence J. Bartel , counsel of record do hereby cer	rtify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge ar	nd belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of					
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: January 8, 2015 Carrence J. South	94006					
Attorney-di-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
NOIE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	nere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of	or within one year previously terminated action in this court					
except as noted above.	•					
DATE: January 8, 2014 Course 9. Boats	94006					
Attorney-at-Vaw	Attorney I.D.#					
CIV. 609 (6/08)	Λιωπιον 1.υ.π					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

JO	SEPH MANNI	0	CIVIL ACTION		
		:			
	v.	:			
ASS	SET ACCEPTANCE, LLC ET A	: AL. :	NO.		
plain filin side desi plain	ntiff shall complete a Case Manag the complaint and serve a copy of this form.) In the event the gnation, that defendant shall, with	agement Track Designar on all defendants. (See nat a defendant does not hits first appearance, sumanagement track designate.)	Reduction Plan of this court, coution Form in all civil cases at the § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the ot agree with the plaintiff regardbmit to the clerk of court and sergnation form specifying the track	e time of ne reverse ding said we on the	
SEL	LECT ONE OF THE FOLLOW	ING CASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:		
(a)	Habeas Corpus-Cases brought u	nder 28 U.S.C. §2241 th	nrough §2255.	()	
(b) Social Security-Cases requesting review of a dec and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Se			•	()	
(c)	c) Arbitration-Cases require to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.				
(d)	d) Asbestos-Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
(e) Special Management-Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)					
(f)	Standard ManagementCases	that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()	
Jan	nuary 8, 2015	Quience J. Bouts	Defendants, Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, LLC		
Dat		Attorney-at-law Lawrence J. Bartel, Esquire.	Attorney for	vanili dinimutu	
(21	5) 575-2780	(215) 575-0856	Ljbartel@mdwcg.com		
	ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Joseph Mar	ını	:			
	V.	: : Civil Action : No:			
MIDLAND F	UNDING, LLC et al.				
	DISCLOSU	RE STATEMENT FORM			
Please chec	k one box:				
	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.				
✓	The nongovernmental corporate party, MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) an publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:				
	Encore Capital Group, Inc., a public	ly-traded corporation, owns 10% or more of Midland Funding,	LLC's stock		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1 9 15 Date	Counsel fo	Signature MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC			
Federal Rul (a)	two copies of a disclosur	rs. A nongovernmental corporate party ne statement that: ent corporation and any publicly held corporation and any publicly held corporation.			
	(2) states that there is	s no such corporation.			
(b) Tin		FILING. A party must: statement with its first appearance, pleadiesponse, or other request addressed to the			
	(2) promptly file a sup changes.	plemental statement if any required inform	nation		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Joseph Mar	nnı					
V. MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC et al.			Civil Action No:			
		DISCLOSURI	E STATEMENT FORM			
Please chec	ck one	box:				
	, in t	nongovernmental cor he above listed civil a icly held corporation t	porate party, ction does not have any parent corporation and hat owns 10% or more of its stock.			
\checkmark	The nongovernmental corporate party, MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:					
	Encor	e Capital Group, Inc., a publicly-	traded corporation, owns 10% or more of Midland Funding, LLC's stock			
1/9/15 Date		Counsel for:	Javena J. Boutel Signature MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC			
Federal Rul (a)	Wно two d	Must File; Contents	t corporation and any publicly held corporation			
	(2)	states that there is	no such corporation.			
(b) Tı	ме То (1)		FILING. A party must: tatement with its first appearance, pleading, sponse, or other request addressed to the court;			
	(2)	promptly file a supp	lemental statement if any required information			

changes.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Joseph Mai	าทเ		:	
Asset Acce	V. ptance	LLC et al.	; ; ;	Civil Action No:
		DISCLOSUR	E STATEMENT FOR	RM
Please ched	ck one	box:		
	, in th			any parent corporation and re of its stock.
✓	, in th	ne above listed civil a	rporate party, Asset Acc action has the followir s) that owns 10% or r	ng parent corporation(s) and
	Encore	Capital Group, Inc., a publicly	r-traded corporation, owns 10%	or more of Midland Funding, LLC's stock

1/9/15 Date			Jaurena Signa	9 Bartil Ture
		Counsel for:	Asset Acceptance, LL	_C
Federal Ru (a)	Wно	Must File; Content opies of a disclosure identifies any parer owning10% or mor	statement that: nt corporation and an	it tal corporate party must file y publicly held corporation
(b) Tı	ме То I (1) (2)	file the disclosure s petition, motion, re- and	sponse, or other requ	appearance, pleading, lest addressed to the court; if any required information

changes.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Joseph Man	ıni		:	
Asset Accep	V. otance, I	_LC et al.	:	Civil Action No:
	, , ,		: URE STATEME	INIT EODM
			ONE STATEME	INT FORM
Please chec	k one b	ox:		
	, in the		ril action does n	,, ot have any parent corporation and % or more of its stock.
✓	, in the	above listed civ	il action has the	Asset Acceptance, LLC e following parent corporation(s) and 10% or more of its stock:
	Encore C	apital Group, Inc., a pub	olicly-traded corporation	n, owns 10% or more of Midland Funding, LLC's stock
	····	And the second s		
1/9/15 Date	_		Å	Deure J. Bartel Signature
		Counsel t		
		Couriser	OI. 1000011000	5641100, 120
Federal Rule (a)	WHO M two co (1)	pies of a disclos	ENTS. A nongovure statement the statement the statement the statement the statement corporation in the	vernmental corporate party must file hat: n and any publicly held corporation
	(2)	states that there	is no such corp	poration.
(b) Tin	(1)		e statement wit	rty must: h its first appearance, pleading, ther request addressed to the court;
	(2)	promptly file a si	upplemental sta	tement if any required information

changes.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH MANNI,

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

vs.

ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC, MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC AND FULTON, FREIDMAN AND GULLACE, LLP

Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Defendants, Asset Acceptance, LLC (hereinafter "Asset") and Midland Funding, LLC (hereinafter "MF")(collectively "Defendants), by and through its counsel, Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin, P.C., hereby removes the action captioned as <u>Joseph Manni v. Asset Acceptance, LLC</u>, docket no. 30043-2014, as filed in the Court of Common Pleas for Montgomery County, Pennsylvania ("the Action"), to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, based upon the following:

- 1. On or about December 5, 2014 Plaintiff filed the Action in the Court of Common Pleas for Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint in the Action is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 2. MF first received notice of the Action on December 9, 2014, when it was served with Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 3. Based on the foregoing, MF has timely filed this Notice of Removal within thirty days of being served with the Complaint and within thirty days of the date that the Action was first removable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 4. The Action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Asset pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b), in that Plaintiff has alleged that MF violated the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., thereby asserting claims that arise under federal law.
- 5. In that the causes of action alleged by the Plaintiff arise from the performance of obligations of the parties within Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania should be assigned the Action.
- 6. Counsel for Asset and MF has spoken with Cynthia Fulton, counsel for co-defendant Fulton, Freidman and Gullace, LLP, who has consented and concurred to the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), MF will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, will serve Plaintiff with copies of this Notice of Removal and will file the Notice of Removal in the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, LLC notifies this Court that this Action is removed from the Court of Common Pleas for Montgomery County, Pennsylvania to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§1331, and 1446.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN, P.C.

By:

Lawrence J. Bartel, ESQUIRE 2000 Market Street, Suite 2300

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 575-2780 / (215) 575-0856 (f)

Ljbartel@mdwcg.com Attorneys for Defendants

Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland

Funding, LLC

Dated: January 8, 2015

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DENNIS GEIGER,

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

vs.

MIDLAND FUNDING, LLC,

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lawrence J. Bartel, Esquire, do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Defendants, Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, LLC's Notice of Removal was served upon the below-listed counsel of record by regular mail on January 9, 2015:

Vicki Piontek, Esquire 951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446 Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph Manni

MARSHALL DENNEHEY WARNER COLEMAN & GOGGIN, P.C.

By:

Lawrence J. Bartel, Esquire Attorneys for Defendants

Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland

Funding, LLC

Dated: January 9, 2015

EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

Joseph Manni 35 Belmar Road Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff

v.

Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP 2345 East Thomas Road, Suite 460 Phoenix, AZ 85016

and

Asset Acceptance, LLC

28405 Van Dyke Ave.

Warren, MI 48093 and

Midland Funding, LLC 8875 Aero Drive Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92123

and

X,Y, Z Corporations

Defendant

2014-30043

Jury Trial Demanded

NOTICE

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND AGAINST THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, YOU MUST TAKE ACTION WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE ARE SERVED BY ENTERING A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTORNEY AND FILING IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. YOU ARE WARNED THAT IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO THE CASE MAY PROCEED WITHOUT YOU AND A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU BY THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE FOR ANY MONEY CLAIMED OR FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE DEFENDANT. YOU MAY LOSE MONEY OR PROPERTY OR OTHER RIGHTS IMPORTANT TO YOU.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

LAWYER REFERENCE SERVICE MONTGOMERY COUNTY BAR ASSOCATION 100 West Airy Street (REAR), NORRISTOWN, PA 19401 (610) 279-9660, EXTENSION 201

> Montgomery County Legal Aid Services 625 Swede Street, Norristown, PA 19401 610-275-5400

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

Joseph Manni 35 Belmar Road

Hatboro, PA 19040 Plaintiff

v. : 2014-30043

Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP

2345 East Thomas Road, Suite 460 Phoenix, AZ 85016

and

Asset Acceptance, LLC

28405 Van Dyke Ave.

Warren, MI 48093 : Jury Trial Demanded

and

Midland Funding, LLC

8875 Aero Drive Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92123

and

X,Y, Z Corporations

Defendant

DEC -5 A &

COMPLAINT

- This is a lawsuit for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant(s)'
 alleged violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq.
 (hereinafter "FDCPA").
- Defendant(s) regularly transact(s) business throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and in this jurisdiction, and therefore obtains the benefit(s) of regularly transacting business in this jurisdiction.
- 3. Plaintiff resides in this jurisdiction.

- Plaintiff is Joseph Manni an adult individual with a current address of 35 BELMAR RD,
 HATBORO PA 19040.
- 5. Defendants are the following individuals and business entities.
 - a. Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP, 2345 East Thomas Road, Suite 460,
 Phoenix, AZ 85016.
 - Asset Acceptance, LLC, a business entity with a principle place of business of
 28405 Van Dyke Avenue, Warren, MI 48093.
 - Midland Funding, LLC, a business entity with a mailing address of 8875 Aero
 Drive Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92123 .
 - d. X,Y,Z Corporations, business identities whose identities are not know to

 Plaintiff at this time, but which will become known upon proper discovery. It

 is believed and averred that such entities played a substantial role in the

 commission of the acts described in this complaint.

COUNT ONE: Violation of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 USC 1692 et. seq.

- 6. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 7. At all times mentioned in this Complaint Plaintiff was a consumer debtor as defined by the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 USC 1692 et. Seq.
- At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant was a debt collector as defined by the FDCPA, 15 USC 1692 et. seq.
- At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendants, Fulton Friedman and Gullace,
 LLP, Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, Inc. were attempting to collect on an alleged consumer debt against Plaintiff.
- 10. The alleged consumer debt that Defendants were attempting to collect from Plaintiff was for Plaintiff's personal and household purposes.

- 11. The alleged consumer debt that Defendants were attempting to collect from Plaintiff was for a judgment in favor of Asset Acceptance, LLC that stemmed from a Dell Financial account for Plaintiff's personal and household purposes. The account which was the subject of the judgment was being serviced by Midland Funding, Inc. Fulton Friedman and Gullace was collecting on behalf of both Midland Funding, Inc. and Asset Acceptance.
- 12. On or about January 29, 2014, Defendant Asset Acceptance, LLC through it's agent employee or representative Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP accessed Plaintiff's consumer report from Experian Information Solutions, Inc. a consumer reporting agency (CRA) as defined by 15 USC 1681a et. seq. See attached exhibits.
- 13. The Experian report that Defendant Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP accessed pertaining to Plaintiff was a consumer report as defined by 15 USC 1681a and 1681b of the FCRA.
- 14. At all times mentioned in this Complaint Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP was acting as an agent of Defendant Asset Acceptance, LLC.
- 15. At all times mentioned in this Complaint Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP was acting as an agent of Defendant Midland Funding, Inc.

- 16. Defendant Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP had a permissible lawful purpose to obtain Plaintiff's consumer report.
- 17. However, when Defendant Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP obtained Plaintiff's consumer report, Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP caused what is colloquially referred to as a "hard inquiry" to be made against Plaintiff's consumer report. A "hard Inquiry" occurs when the consumer's report is accessed in such a way as to appear that the consumer initiated the transaction. A "hard inquiry" is viewable by potential lenders, employers, insurers or other persons or entities who have a permissible purpose to access the consumer's report. A "hard inquiry" usually remains on a consumer's consumer report for 24 months from the date of the inquiry. A hard inquiry usually has a negative affect on a consumer's credit score.
- 18. A "soft inquiry" is where a person or entity accesses the consumer's consumer report in such a way that potential lenders, employers, insurers or other persons or entities having a permissible purpose to access the consumer's consumer report do not see the inquiry. A "soft" inquiry also does not affect the consumer's credit score.
- 19. The inquiry that occurred by Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP, was viewable on Plaintiff's consumer report to potential lenders, employers, insurers and other persons or entities that have a permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's consumer report, and is likely to remain so on Plaintiff's consumer report fro 24 months from the date of such inquiry.

- 20. It is believed and averred that the inquiry that occurred by Fulton, Friedman and Gullace,
 LLP had a negative affect on Plaintiff's consumer report.
- 21. It is believed and averred that at the time that Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP accessed Plaintiff's consumer report on behalf of Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, Inc., Friedman and Gullace, LLP knew or should have known that the inquiry would be a "hard inquiry," and not a "soft inquiry."
- 22. It is believed and averred that at all times mentioned in this Complaint, Defendant

 Friedman and Gullace, LLP had the discretion and ability to cause Plaintiff's consumer
 report to be accessed as a "soft inquiry" as opposed to a "hard inquiry."
- 23. It is believed and averred that Defendant Friedman and Gullace, LLP had the discretion and ability to cause Plaintiff's consumer report to be accessed as a "soft inquiry" as opposed to "hard inquiry."
- 24. It is believed and averred that Defendant Friedman and Gullace, LLP had a duty to take reasonable measures so that Plaintiff's consumer report was not unnecessarily accessed as a "hard inquiry."
- 25. Defendant Friedman and Gullace, LLP did not take reasonable steps to access Plaintiff's consumer report as a "soft inquiry" as opposed to a "hard inquiry."

- 26. Defendant Friedman and Gullace, LLP behaved with reckless or conscious disregard for the manner and consequences in which it accessed Plaintiff's consumer report on behalf of Asset Acceptance, LLC and Midland Funding, Inc.
- 27. The natural consequences of how Defendant accessed Plaintiff's consumer report was to harass and oppress Plaintiff in violation of 15 USSC 1692d of the FDCPA.
- 28. The manner in which Friedman and Gullace, LLP accessed Plaintiff's consumer report was unconscionable in violation 15 USC 1692f of the FDCPA.

LIABILITY

- 29. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint.
- 30. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Friedman and Gullace, LLP was acting as an agent of Defendant Asset Acceptance, LLC and Asset Acceptance is therefore liable to Plaintiff for all of the above described FDCPA violations of Friedman and Gullace, LLP under the theory of agency and respondeat superior. See Moses v. Law Office of Harrison Ross Byck, P.C. and CACH, LLC, United Stated District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, 08 cv 1939, Aug. 4, 2009; also see Martsolf, v. JBC Legal Group, P.C., and Outsource Recovery Management, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, 04-CV-1346, 2008.
- 31. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, Friedman and Gullace, LLP was acting as an agent of Defendant Midland Funding, Inc. and Midland Funding, Inc. is therefore liable to Plaintiff for all of the above described FDCPA violations of Friedman and Gullace, LLP under the theory of agency and respondeat superior. See Moses v. Law Office of Harrison Ross Byck, P.C. and CACH, LLC, United Stated District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, 08 cv 1939, Aug. 4, 2009; also see Martsolf, v. JBC Legal Group, P.C., and Outsource Recovery Management, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, 04-CV-1346, 2008.

- 32. Any mistake made by Defendant Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP would have included a mistake of law.
- 33. Any mistake made by Defendant Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP would not have been a reasonable or bona fide mistake.

DAMAGES

- 34. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by.
- 35. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff is entitled to at lease \$1.00 actual damages for Plaintiff, including but not limited to phone, fax, stationary, postage, etc.
- 36. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff is entitled to \$1,000.00 statutory damages pursuant to 15 USC 1692k, or other amount determine by this Honorable Court.
- 37. It is believed and averred that the above referenced \$1,000.00 statutory damages is per Defendant, for a total of \$3,000.00 statutory damages, or other amount determined by this Honorable Court. The total amount of statutory damages being sought is \$3,000, at a rate of \$1000 per Defendant, and there are 3 Defendants.
- 38. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress, anger, frustration and confusion as a result of the FDCPA violation(s) described in this Complaint.
- 39. The amount of such emotional distress shall be determined at trial.
- 40. For purposes of a default judgment, Plaintiff believes and avers that such distress has a Dollar value of no less than \$10,000.00.

ATTORNEY FEES

- 41. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this complaint.
- 42. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 USC 1692 k of the FDCPA.
- 43. Plaintiff believes and avers that the hourly rate for such attorney fees is \$350.00 per hour or other rate that this Honorable Court may determine.
- 44. Plaintiff believes and avers that such attorney fees amount to no less than \$1,750.00 at a rate of \$350.00 per hour, enumerated below.
- a. Consultation with client, review of file, drafting of letters
 b. Drafting, editing, review, filing and service of complaint and related documents
 c. Follow up contact with Defense and client

 $5 \times $350 = $1,750$

- 45. Plaintiff's attorney fees continue to accrue as the case moves forward.
- 46. The above stated attorney fees are for prosecuting this matter and reasonable follow up.

OTHER RELIEF

- 47. All previous paragraphs of this complaint are incorporated by reference and made a part of this portion of the complaint.
- 48. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief barring further unlawful collection activity.
- 49. Plaintiff seeks such other relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper.
- 50. Plaintiff requests / demands a jury trial in this matter.
- 51. Plaintiff demands fees and costs for prosecuting this action.

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant(s) in the amount of no less than \$14,751.00 as enumerated below, or such other amount as the Honorable Court deems just and fair.

\$1.00 more or less actual damages.

\$3,000.00 statutory damages pursuant to 15 USC 1692k et. seq.

\$1,750 attorney fees

\$10,000.00 emotional distress

\$14,751

Plaintiff seeks such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Vicki Piontek, Esquire

Supreme Court ID Number 83559

Attorney for Plaintiff 951 Allentown Road Lansdale, PA 19446 877-737-8617

Fax: 866-408-6735 palaw@justice.com

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW

Joseph Manni 35 Belmar Road Hatboro, PA 19040

Plaintiff

V.

Fulton, Friedman and Gullace, LLP 2345 East Thomas Road, Suite 460

Phoenix, AZ 85016

and

Asset Acceptance, LLC

28405 Van Dyke Ave.

Warren, MI 48093

and

Midland Funding, LLC

8875 Aero Drive Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92123

and

X,Y, Z.Corporations.

2014-30043

Jury Trial Demanded

VERIFICATION

I, Joseph Manni, have read the attached Complaint. The facts stated therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, understanding and belief.

Joseph Manni

11-29-2014 Date B/27/2014

Experien - Report Summery

Oct 2012: \$17,991 / September 28, 2012 / \$554 / \$557 Sep 2012: \$17,991 / September 28, 2012 / \$554 / \$557 Aug 2012: \$18,435 / August 31, 2012 / \$554 / \$560 Jul 2012: \$19,305 / July 6, 2012 / \$554 / \$557 Jun 2012: \$19,732 / June 6, 2012 / \$554 / \$553

The original amount of this account was \$32,509

Record of Requests for Your Credit History

Inquiries Shared With Others

We make your credit history available to your current and prospective creditors and employers as allowed by law. Experien may list these inquiries for up to two years.

The section below lists all of the companies that have requested your credit history as a result of action you took, such as applying for credit or financing or as a result of a collection. The inquiries in this section are shared with companies that receive your credit history.

CHASE

Address: PO BOX 15298 WILMINGTON DE 15298 (800) 955-9900 0039610820

Date of Request: 06/04/2014

Address Identification Number:

Comments:

Unspecified. This inquiry is scheduled to continue on record until Jul 2016.

FULTON, FRIEDMAN & GULLA

Address: PO BOX 2123 **WARREN M 48090** (877) 496-4798 Address Identification Number: 0039610820

Date of Request: 01/29/2014

Comments:

Auto loan. This inquiry is scheduled to continue on record until Feb 2016.

SPRINGLEAF FINANCIAL SER

FXhibit A